

ANALYSIS OF KNOWLEDGE SHARING BARRIERS IN SRI LANKAN SOFTWARE COMPANIES

J Prabodha¹ and S Vasanthapriyan²

^{1,2}Department of Computing & Information Systems,
Sabaragamuwa University of Sri Lanka, Belihuloya, Sri Lanka

priyan@appsc.sab.ac.lk

Abstract- Knowledge sharing is a cornerstone for software companies as they are knowledge intensive organizations and expertized knowledge generates the key to success of organization. This study is a survey-based empirical investigation which conducted to identify current status and the existing knowledge sharing barriers in software companies in the context of Sri Lanka. In order to provide a more comprehensive and complete description to the related study, quantitative research method is used to conduct the survey with staff of the development teams in selected software companies. The Theory of Planned Behaviour is applied as the basis of this study in order to create the relationship between knowledge sharing behaviour, intention and attitude for knowledge sharing. Questionnaire was designed considering individual, organizational and technical factors based on previous literature covering dependent variable; attitude for knowledge sharing; and independent variables; motivation and willingness, trust, time, power relationships, expected reciprocity, communication skills, organizational culture and structure, leadership, reward systems, and technology. Structural equation modelling is used to analyse data, in order to assess both measurement model and structural model. According to findings, hypothesised associations with motivation and willingness, time, power relationships, expected reciprocity, communication skills, organizational culture and structure, and leadership were identified to have a significant impact on knowledge sharing attitude while, trust, reward systems, and technology depicts no significant relationship. Findings further emphasize lack of time, improper organizational structures, power relationships and language as the main barriers in software companies.

Keywords- Knowledge sharing attitude, Quantitative research method, Structural equation modelling

I. INTRODUCTION

Knowledge is a critical organizational resource and the management of this knowledge is key to long-term sustainability and success of organizations. Efficient management of knowledge is not possible without a proper process of knowledge sharing (Paulin and Sunneson, 2012; Andreasian and Andreasian, 2013). Knowledge sharing is the process which integrates and merges knowledge among each individual and teams in an organization by exchanging each other's tacit knowledge, and explicit knowledge (Paulin and Sunneson, 2012; Andreasian and Andreasian, 2013). Most of the issues arising in the software companies are identified as a result of inefficient knowledge sharing. To improve the organizational performance, knowledge should be shared in a structured way that the right knowledge is conveyed to the right person at the right time. Knowledge sharing in software companies has been attained a considerable attention of researchers in recent years. This paper focuses on identifying the current status of knowledge sharing and its barriers in software companies in the context of Sri Lanka by employing an empirical investigation. Moreover, this study focuses on the concept, 'Theory of Planned Behavior' (TPB); an extended concept of predicting behavior in any social situation; and applies this theory as the basement of this research work. The contribution of this study consists of baseline data and recommendations which could be a source of general guidance for academic researchers in

stimulating future research in the context of knowledge sharing. This research presents a description to research problem, a literature study that integrates studies of current status of knowledge sharing behavior and studies of knowledge sharing barriers in the context of software industry, overview of the research method, and analysis of the survey results, discussion of the study and finally conclusion and future research possibilities.

II. METHODOLOGY AND EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

G. Research Design and Hypothesis

Taking previous research into account and concerning insights from earlier empirical investigation into knowledge sharing in software companies, a number of hypothesis have been formulated. The proposed hypothesis are listed below; **H1**. Motivation and willingness influence on employee attitude towards knowledge sharing, **H2**. The level of trust among individuals influences on employee attitude towards knowledge sharing, **H3**. Time influences on employee attitude towards knowledge sharing, **H4**. Power relationship influences on employee attitude towards knowledge sharing, **H5**. Expected reciprocity influences on employee attitude towards knowledge sharing, **H6**. Communication skills influence on employee attitude towards knowledge sharing, **H7**. Organizational culture and structure influence on employee attitude towards knowledge sharing, **H8**. Leadership influences on employee attitude towards knowledge sharing, **H9**. Reward systems influence on employee attitude towards knowledge sharing, **H10**. Technological infrastructure influence on employee attitude towards knowledge sharing.

H. Questionnaire Design and Data Collection

The primary objective of this study is to provide a more complete and a comprehensive description of

the knowledge sharing and the obstacles against knowledge sharing in Sri Lankan software industries engaged in software development by following a survey based empirical research method. In order to provide a more comprehensive and complete description to the related study, quantitative research method is used to conduct the survey, which is a questionnaire based survey study.

The questionnaire was designed with three main dimensions based on previous literature; individual factors, organizational factors and technical factors (Kukko, 2013). These three dimensions were divided into eleven subsections based on the factors which affect each dimension as found in previous literature. According to previous literature, above-mentioned variables could be identified as dependent variables (attitude for knowledge sharing) and independent variables (motivation and willingness, trust among individuals, time, power relationships, expected reciprocity, communication skills, organizational culture and structure, leadership, reward systems, technological infrastructure). Based on these dependent and independent variables the research model is created. The research model in Figure 1 shows the variables which used to design the questionnaire. First, the profile and demographics of the participants (age, gender, current position, and work experience) were questioned and continued with the questions focused on eleven subsections. Five-point Likert-type scale was used as the scaling method in order to scale the responses provided by the respondents. Respondents had to make their level of agreement for each item such as strongly agree, agree, no idea, disagree and strongly disagree. For each of these levels of agreement, assigned scores 5,4,3,2, and 1 respectively, and score 3 was considered to be the middle value, where it represents neither negative nor positive response (Vasanthapriyan et al., 2017). The purpose of introducing Five-point Likert-type scale in this survey is to measure the level of favorable attitude towards the dependent variable (attitude for knowledge sharing) with contrast to the level of each independent variable.




Figure 1. The research model

The preliminary designed questionnaire was pilot tested with 30 individuals from Sri Lankan software industries to check whether the survey items were clear, meaningful and understandable (Vasanthapriyan et al., 2017). They were asked to provide comments and feedback on the questionnaire regarding readability and understandability of the questionnaire and improvements for the design of the questionnaire. Based on their feedback minor modifications were made to the survey items such as wording and formatting. Few items were found difficult to understand by majority of the respondents. Hence, these items were modified in order to remove the ambiguity and to provide required meaning in an understandable format. Finally modified questionnaire was distributed online among employees in three selected software companies for conducting the survey study. Software companies were selected to cover major growth dimensions; organic growth, acquisition growth and network growth. This resulted in collecting overall 130 responses with a considerable amount of responses from each company. Demographic variables of the responses were analyzed using the frequency of each variable and it represented a considerable participation of both male and female respondents, which are approximately 60.8% and 39.2% respectively out of 130 respondents. Therefore, it is concerned that the results will not be biased due to influence of gender.

III. RESULTS

The dataset collected through questionnaires were analysed using SPSS version 20 and structural equation modelling was used in order to assess both measurement model and structural model. In this section, details related to the analysis of collected data are presented.

A. Measurement Model Analysis

Taking Measurement model was analyzed prior to the testing of hypothesis, in order to ensure the validity and the adequacy of the used measures in the latent variables. Analysis was performed based on validity and internal consistency (Vasanthapriyan et al., 2017). Validity provides the evidence on the correctness of the assumptions made on the questions that the study was intended to answer, while reliability measures the stability and consistency of the result (Vasanthapriyan et al., 2017).

Validity analysis was performed using Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) coefficient and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity (BTS). Value of KMO measures the adequacy of sampling, while BTS statistically tests the soundness of correlations within correlation matrix factors in general (Vasanthapriyan et al., 2017). KMO value is ranked,

marvellous if 0.9s, meritorious if 0.8s, middling if 0.7s, mediocre if 0.6s, miserable if 0.5s, and unacceptable if below 0.5s (Vasanthapriyan et al., 2017). Authors selected 0.8 as the threshold to assess KMO value. Analysis produced 0.84 for the KMO value, and BTS alongside ensures the soundness of the strength of association as shown in Table 1. Hence, both tests strongly indicate that the analyzed sample size is adequate.

Table 1. KMO and Bartlett’s test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.	0.841
Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity Approximately Chi-Square	3390.532
Df	780
Sig.	0.000

Internal consistency was tested using Cronbach’s alpha. In this study, 0.5 was selected as the benchmark for Cronbach’s alpha for indicating ample reliability as recommended by Vasanthapriyan et al. (2017). Table 2 shows Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of each construct. According to the analyzed results, Cronbach’s alpha

coefficient for each construct are greater than 0.5. Therefore, internal consistency and the reliability of the questionnaire could be considered high, since, reliability values are exceeding the recommended threshold.

Correlations of the constructs are shown in Table 3. According to the depicted data, all constructs seemed to have a considerably positive correlation with each other, instead of none significant correlations between reward systems (RS) and power relationships (PR), and between leadership (LD) and power relationships (PR). Technological Infrastructure (TI) and organizational culture and structure (OS) were identified to have the highest correlation ($r=0.743$, $p<0.01$) among all the latent variables. Also motivation and willingness (MW) and organizational culture and structure (OS) seemed to have a significant correlation ($r=0.688$, $p<0.01$ and $r=0.609$, $p<0.01$ respectively) with attitude for knowledge sharing (AT). Leadership (LD) and organizational culture and structure (OS) could be identified to have a positive correlation coefficient of 0.655. Moreover, the results reveal that each correlation has a p-value which falls under 0.01 with attitude for knowledge sharing (AT) and there is a positive correlation between dependent variable (AT) and selected independent variables. Hence, it accepts all the proposed hypothesis.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics and Reliability Analysis

Construct Deviation	Number of Items	Mean	Standard	Cronbach’s Alpha	Reliability
AT	130	4.13	0.95	0.876	Very high
MW	130	3.58	0.75	0.532	Relatively high
TR	130	3.14	0.80	0.803	Very high
T	130	3.58	0.67	0.530	Relatively high
PR	130	3.51	0.93	0.827	Very high
ER	130	3.45	0.79	0.714	High
CS	130	3.47	0.73	0.629	Relatively high
OS	130	3.49	0.65	0.693	Relatively high
LD	130	3.42	0.96	0.922	Very high
RS	130	3.05	0.90	0.823	Very high
TI	130	3.28	0.71	0.731	High

Table 3. Correlations of Constructs

Construct	AT	MW	TR	T	PR	ER	CS	OS	LD	RS	TI
AT	1										
MW	.688**	1									
TR	.414**	.528**	1								
T	.619**	.510**	.366**	1							
PR	.474**	.383**	.476**	.423**	1						
ER	.484**	.299*	.275**	.348**	.503**	1					
CS	.549**	.413**	.258**	.371**	.210*	.372**	1				
OS	.609**	.510**	.408**	.449**	.288**	.395**	.523**	1			
LD	.532**	.492**	.310**	.383**	.110	.197*	.395**	.655**	1		
RS	.241**	.214**	.281**	.204**	.073	.216*	.293**	.488**	.460**	1	
TI	.484**	.456**	.398**	.356**	.264**	.408**	.503**	.734**	.595**	.482**	1

B. Structural Model Analysis

In order to assess the structural model, hypothesis were tested using linear regression method. Then, path coefficient of the hypothesized relationships and the variance (R2) explained by each path were estimated. According to the estimated values, H2 ($\beta = -0.051$, t-value = -0.774), H9 ($\beta = -0.064$, t-value = -1.044) and H10 ($\beta = -0.111$, t-value = -1.368) did not depict a direct influence over attitude for knowledge sharing. Therefore, H2, H9 and H10 were eliminated from the research model

since they were showed as negative relationships. Other hypothesis represented a significant relationship with knowledge sharing attitude. Hence, H1, H3, H4, H5, H6, H7, and H8 were supported. The R2 value of 0.697 and adjusted R2 value of 0.672 ($F = 27.382$, $p < 0.001$) which is approximately 69% indicate the adequacy of the overall model in explaining the variance in attitude for knowledge sharing. Results of the analysis are shown in Table 4. Taking the results into account, the research model was refined in order to represent only the significance associations as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Refined research model

Table 4. Summary of hypothesis test

Hypothesis	Path Coefficient	Result
H1	0.330***	Supported
H2	-0.051	Not Supported
H3	0.199**	Supported
H4	0.138*	Supported
H5	0.147*	Supported
H6	0.180**	Supported
H7	0.183*	Supported
H8	0.170*	Supported
H9	-0.064	Not Supported
H10	-0.111	Not Supported

*.p<0.05 **.p<0.01 ***.p<0.001

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In considering overall results of the analysis, both positive and negative features related to knowledge sharing attitude were identified. The study has proposed an initial research model which represents factors affecting knowledge sharing attitude in software companies consists of ten associations among latent variables. According to the results of this investigation, only seven relationships which proposed in the model were supported in the context of Sri Lanka. Therefore, this model was later refined to make it fit into the Sri Lankan software companies by eliminating unsupported relationships as shown in Figure 2. According to the performed analysis, motivation and willingness, time, power relationships, expected reciprocity, communication skills, organizational culture and structure, and leadership convey a considerably positive impact on knowledge sharing attitude. This impact regarding time, organizational culture and structure, and leadership is a well-treated aspect in most of the previous literature (Seba et al., 2012; Mas-Machuca and Costa, 2012; Kukko, 2013; Phung et al., 2016; Zammit et al., 2016). Most importantly, this study proposes four significant factors (motivation and willingness, expected reciprocity, power relationships and communication skills) which are not frequently included in previous

research models but mentioned important in many locations (Seba et al., 2012; Heeager and Nielsen, 2013; Hau et al., 2013; Endres and Chowdhury, 2013). Applying these factors in the proposed research model in this study, reveals their positive effect on knowledge sharing attitude. In contrast to previous literature, the results of this study show a deviation regarding two factors; trust and technology. Even though, literature suggest these two factors as influencers on knowledge sharing attitude, this study has identified no relationship between these factors and knowledge sharing attitude. Hence, it paves the way to more future research aspects regarding the effect of trust and technology on knowledge sharing.

The study considers the organizational aspect and individual aspect regarding rewards separately, as the previous research have been concluded with an ambiguity regarding this fact. Expected reciprocity was found to have a positive influence on knowledge sharing attitude. There can be seen a greater desire of receiving increased promotions in return for actively engage in knowledge sharing activities relative to monetary incentives, reputation and recognition. When considering the mean of expected reciprocity derived through the analysis, it shows a positive but a moderate value which falls around the decision criteria. Contrast to that, organizational aspect of rewards, such as having a reward system and rewarding employees etc. do not show a significant relationship with attitude for knowledge sharing. Hence, considering both aspects together, rewards do not have an overall positive influence over knowledge sharing attitude according to this study. Literature also show an ambiguity over the significance of rewards on knowledge sharing and still there is no general agreement. Therefore, future research should be implemented to investigate the involvement of rewards in knowledge sharing.

Most of the previous studies have mentioned time as a huge barrier for sharing knowledge (Seba et al., 2012; Mas-Machuca and Costa, 2012; Kukko, 2013). This study further proves this evidence. According to this study, it is found that employees do not have enough time to search and locate appropriate knowledge, as well as apply or realize that knowledge. Results show that the high pressure and tight schedules as a cause of reducing knowledge sharing. As found by authors, organizations generally provide all the required facilities such as formal, and informal spaces, resources etc., except the required time. Moreover, employees are strictly bounded to the organizational structure and they are not allowed to go

beyond this structure for acquiring the knowledge they need. When the organizations have a larger hierarchy, knowledge sharing has been negatively affected due to dispersion of knowledge. According to majority of the respondents of this survey, they do not have enough time to attend workshops and training courses held in their organizations due to rush schedules.

Communication skills are not much mentioned in previous literature. But one of the recent papers (Heeager and Nielsen, 2013) have been mentioned language and absorptive capacity as barrier factors for knowledge sharing and therefore, this factor was included in the study. The study reveals that employees have enough absorptive capacity while they face difficulties due to existing language barriers among individuals. Apart from that, power relationship was found to have a negative effect on knowledge sharing due to employees become bottlenecks in sharing knowledge as they believe that they can obtain more power by owning knowledge others do not have. Most significantly, employees avoid sharing knowledge with the purpose of making their position in the organizational hierarchy by owning specialized knowledge. Even though, there is a good motivation and willingness to share and acquire new knowledge, some employees who are over-estimated on their available knowledge could be seen less motivated to go beyond their knowledge circle.

Even the overall attitude of knowledge sharing has a positive contribution to sharing knowledge, these barriers act as an obstacle for efficient and effective knowledge sharing. Therefore, these factors should be concerned by the companies in order to eliminate them by providing the appropriate solutions. Sri Lankan companies generally have a multicultural environment with people who speak different languages. Hence, it is important to encourage employees to become fluency in languages which are used within the organization in order to eliminate language barriers which negatively effects on the efficiency of knowledge sharing. Moreover, organizations have to provide a prior consideration on knowledge sharing activities when allocating time in schedules and should minimize organizational structures which keep employees bounded to a specific knowledge circle. These solutions will provide a significant support to reduce most of the discussed issues in knowledge sharing in the context of Sri Lankan software companies. According to results and findings of this study, following conclusions are highlighted:

- Motivation and willingness, time, power relationships, expected reciprocity, communication skills, organizational culture and structure, and leadership have a significant influence over knowledge sharing attitude.
- Lack of time, large organizational hierarchies, power relationships, language barriers and lack of willingness to obtain new knowledge due to over-estimation on personal knowledge are the major barriers in knowledge sharing in the context of Sri Lankan software companies.
- Allocating appropriate time for knowledge sharing in working schedules, improving language skills, and minimizing organizational structures which keep employees bounded to a specific knowledge circle could be solutions to overcome many obstacles.

REFERENCES

- Andreasian G, Andreasian M (2013) Knowledge Sharing and Knowledge Transfer Barriers, Master thesis.
- Endres M, Chowdhury S (2013) The Role of Expected Reciprocity in Knowledge Sharing, *International Journal of Knowledge Management*, 9(3), 1-19.
- Hau YS, Kim B, Lee H, Kim Y (2013) The effects of individual motivations and social capital on employees' tacit and explicit knowledge sharing intentions, *International Journal of Information Management*, 33(2), 356-366.
- Heeager L, Nielsen PA (2013) *Agile Software Development and the Barriers to Transfer of Knowledge: An Interpretive Case Study*. Paper presented at the Nordic Contributions in IS Research (SCIS), Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Kukko M, Helander N (2012) *Knowledge sharing barriers in growing software companies*. Paper presented at the Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Maui, HI, USA.
- Kukko M (2013) Knowledge sharing barriers in organic growth: A case study from a software company, *Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 24(1), 18-29.
- Lin HF (2007) Effects of extrinsic and intrinsic motivation on employee knowledge sharing intentions, *Journal of Information Science*, 33, 135-149.
- Mas-Machuca M, Costa CM (2012) Exploring critical success factors of knowledge management projects in the consulting sector, *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 23(11-12), 1297-1313.

Paulin D, Sunneson K (2012) Knowledge Transfer, Knowledge Sharing and Knowledge Barriers – Three Blurry Terms in KM, *Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*, 10(1), 81-91.

Phung VD, Hawryszkiewicz I, Binsawad MH (2016) *Classifying knowledge-sharing barriers by organizational structure in order to find ways to remove these barriers*. Paper presented at the Eighth International Conference on Knowledge and Systems Engineering (KSE), Hanoi, Vietnam.

Seba I, Rowley J, Lambert S (2012) Factors affecting attitudes and intentions towards knowledge sharing in the Dubai Police Force,

International Journal of Information Management, 32(1), 372-380.

Vasanthapriyan S, Xiang J, Tian J, Xiong S (2017) Knowledge synthesis in software industries: a survey in Sri Lanka, *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 15(3), 413-430.

Zammit JP, Gao J, Evans R (2016) *A Framework to Capture and Share Knowledge Using Storytelling and Video Sharing in Global Product Development*. Paper presented at the 12th IFIP International Conference on Product Lifecycle Management (PLM), IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology, Doha, Qatar.